

"Trust in the Lord with all thine heart, and lean not unto thine own understanding. In all thy ways acknowledge him, and he shall direct thy paths." Proverb. 3: 5-6

What Is Missing?

([Personal note.](#))

by

Dean Gotcher

What is missing in the family today? The father's/Father's authority.

What is missing in the classroom today? The father's/Father's authority.

What is missing in the workplace today? The father's/Father's authority.

What is missing in government today? The father's/Father's authority.

What is missing in the "church" today? The Father's authority.

What is missing in "Bloom's Taxonomies," in Lawrence Kolburgs delima questions, in Abraham Maslow's "Heirarchy of 'felt' needs," i.e., in contemporary education and society is the father's/Father's authority. It is *negated*, i.e., deliberately left out (hated). This is what those of the Russian Revolution (and French, Chinese, etc. Revolutions) advocated. *"The peasantry [the traditional family] constantly regenerates the bourgeoisie [the father's/Father's authority system]—in positively every sphere of activity and life." "We must learn how to eradicate all bourgeois habits, customs, and traditions everywhere." ([Vladimir Lenin](#), *Left-Wing Communism: an Infantile Disorder An Essential Condition of the Bolsheviks' Success* May 12, 1920) Millions died, and continue to die because of this ideology (including the unborn, the elderly, the innocent, the righteous)—now the mindset of those in postions of "authority" in America, making *dialogue* the means to knowing right and wrong behavior instead of turning to God's Word, i.e., *discussion*, with God (God's Word) having the final say. As Karl Marx based his ideology off of Heraclitus, *"Every grown man of the Ephesians should hang himself and leave the city**

to the boys" so Americans do today, including the "fathers," acting as children, lusting after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world is stimulating instead of doing the Father's will. This is done in defiance to the Father's authority, i.e., to God and His Word (which should be read and applied to their heart every day). "But he answered and said, It is written, Man shall not live by bread alone, but by every word that proceedeth out of the mouth of God." Matthew 4:4 ". . . it is not in man that walketh to direct his steps." Jeremiah 10:23 ". . . there is no fear of God before his eyes," "God is not in all his thoughts." Psalms 36:14, Psalms 10:3, 4

"For all that is in the world, the lust of the flesh, and the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life, is not of the Father, but is of the world." 1 John 2:16

It is the father/Father who authors commands, rules, facts, and truth to be obeyed as given or to be accepted as is and applied and who enforces them, holding those under his/His authority accountable for their thoughts and actions, when they do wrong, disobey, sin, i.e., when they *lust* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world (that the current situation and/or object, people, or person) is *stimulating* instead of *humbling, dying to, denying, disciplining, capitulating* their *self*, i.e., *denying* their *lusts* (their *self interests*) in order to do right and not wrong according to what the father/Father has said, i.e., has *told* them or commanded, i.e., in order to do the father's/Father's will.

While the earthly father is born into sin and the Heavenly Father is Holy both carry the same authority system (*paradigm*) requiring those under their authority to do right and not wrong according to their established commands, rules, facts, and truth. While the earthly father can be wrong, the right-wrong way of thinking or paradigm remains in place as those under his authority KNOW he is wrong. (Remove or *negate* this authority system or paradigm and wrong is *negated*, making that which is wrong, i.e., *lust* right and that which is right, i.e., the father's/Father's authority that gets in the way of *lust* wrong.) A paradigm is the way a person feels, things, and acts toward their self, other, the world, and authority with those of the *Patriarchal paradigm* doing the father's/Father's will, those of the *Matriarchal paradigm*, when they, still accepting the father's/Father's

authority do wrong, disobey, sin, i.e., *lust* having a *guilty conscience*, and those of the *Heresiarchal paradigm* (of 'change'), denying the father's/Father's authority doing wrong, disobeying, sinning, i.e., *lusting* without having a *guilty conscience*.

Without the father/Father (the father's/Father's authority system) there is no inheritance, posterity, history, tradition, unalienable rights, sovereignty, representation (representative government), limited government, local control, culture, heritage, absolutes (established commands, rules, facts, and truth), *guilty conscience* for sinning, private convictions, private property, and private business, "limits and measures," being wrong, *humbling, denying, dying to, disciplining, controlling* of "self," contrition, repentance, forgiveness, salvation, conversion—redemption and reconciliation—(for doing wrong, disobeying, sinning), fellowship, etc. They are all missing (*negated*) without the father's/Father's authority. Without the Father (the father's/Father's authority system), i.e., law there is no sin, i.e., no disobedience. Without sin there is not need of a savior, i.e., no need for repentance, forgiveness, grace, and mercy.

The current generation, never having been under a King, i.e., subject to a Lord (which is represented by the father's authority in the home which is recognized and protected by the "Bill of Rights") without a father in authority in the home (where individualism under God is represented) are subject to the spirit of antichrist, where they can *lust* without having a *guilty conscience*, in a world that will not judge, condemn, cast them out for their wicked thoughts and wicked actions (thereby having no need of a savior).

"He is antichrist, that denieth the Father and the Son." 1 John 2:22

"Laws must not fetter human life [inhibit or block lust]; but yield to it; they must change as the needs [the lusts] and capacities [interests/attractions of lust] of the people change." (Karl Marx, *Critique of Hegel's 'Philosophy of Right'*)

"I had not known sin, but by the law: for I had not known lust, except the law had said, Thou shalt not covet." Romans 7:7

When God created man He did something which he did with nothing else in the creation, He made him a *"living soul."* *"And the LORD God formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living soul."* (Genesis 2:7). He then did something which He did with nothing else in the creation, He *told* him what was right and what was wrong behavior and the consequence for disobedience. *"And the LORD God commanded the man, saying, Of every tree of the garden thou mayest freely eat: But of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die."* (Genesis 2:16, 17). Only man can be *told* or *tell* others what is right and what is wrong behavior. Only man can read or write a book. All the rest of the creation is based upon *stimulus-response*—for living organisms, approach pleasure and avoid pain.

The gospel message is all about the Son of God, Jesus Christ doing the Father's will, i.e., doing what he was *told*, even dying on a cross, by his shed blood covering our sins (*propitiation*), doing so in obedience to the Father (*"O my Father, if this cup may not pass away from me, except I drink it, thy will be done."* Matthew 26:42) asking all to follow Him doing the Father's will as He leads; *"Casting down imaginations, and every high thing that exalteth itself against the knowledge of God, and bringing into captivity every thought to the obedience of Christ;"* 2 Corinthians 10:5.

"I can of mine own self do nothing: as I hear, I judge: and my judgment is just; because I seek not mine own will, but the will of the Father which hath sent me." John 5:30

"For I have not spoken of myself; but the Father which sent me, he gave me a commandment, what I should say, and what I should speak. And I know that his commandment is life everlasting: whatsoever I speak therefore, even as the Father said unto me, so I speak." John 12:47-50

"Thou has love righteousness, and hated iniquity;" Hebrews 1:9 This defines the Son of God.

"For whosoever shall do the will of my Father which is in heaven, the same is my brother, and sister, and mother." Matthew 12:50

"Not every one that saith unto me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven; but he that doeth the will of my Father which is in heaven." Matthew 7:21

"And call no man your father upon the earth: for one is your Father, which is in heaven." Matthew 23:9

It was the acceptance of and obedience toward the father's/Father's authority (system) that was required of two "children" in the garden in Eden, that was represented in Noah, with only his family entering the Ark obeying, that his children denied, building a tower (society) instead of doing as God commanded, scattering (having to depend upon the father/Father), that Abraham honored, who God called a "friend," that Israel was supposed to honor, that the Son of God came to make the way to, that the Protestant Reformation advocated, putting no man between you and God, i.e., putting no man, object, or institution between you the Heavenly Father and His Son, Jesus Christ, called the priesthood of believers, that influenced the American Revolution and Constitution (with its Bill of Rights) that followed, making the father in the home the King, recognizing his God given freedom of conviction, religion, speech, property, and business, under God, which the government is not to infringe, i.e., usurp (which is now but all dissappeared/abdicated with *lust*, *dialogue* having taken his/His place—for example the 'liberal' judge uses *dialogue*, i.e., his *opinion*, i.e., how he feels or what he thinks in the 'moment' to interpret the Constitution while the conservative judge uses *discussion*, weighing his decision on what the Constitution says, i.e., the intent of the framers). Now the father/Father and his/His authority has been replaced with facilitators of 'change,' making those under their "authority" "[children of disobedience](#)" instead of children of obedience, doing God the Father's will.

What about the husband in the home? While both the husband and wife build relationship with one another via *dialogue* it is their personal fellowshiping with the Lord *discussion* is a part of their life, with the wife, being subject to the husbands authority (political incorrect according to Satan's government system) turning to her husband via *discussion* if she has any questions regarding God's Word, with God's Word having precedence over all. When it comes to behavior the husband-wife "partnership" was put into practice in the garden in Eden (with Satan's "help"), as the woman and her husband uniting as one in defiance to God and His Word. Focusing on the "family" (via *dialogue*) instead of the father/Father (via *discussion*) *negates* the father's/Father's authority in the family, despite what anyone might say, its intended purpose. You can deny it all you want but you can not refute it. There is no "top-down" authority in *dialogue*.

"And Adam said, This is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh: she shall be called Woman, because she was taken out of Man. Therefore shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife: and they shall be one flesh." Genesis 2:23, 24

"The wife hath not power of her own body, but the husband: and likewise also the husband hath not power of his own body, but the wife." 1 Corinthians 7:4

"Likewise, ye husbands, dwell with them [your wife] according to knowledge, giving honour unto the wife, as unto the weaker vessel, and as being heirs together of the grace of life; that your prayers be not hindered." 1 Peter 3:-7

"Nevertheless let every one of you in particular so love his wife even as himself; and the wife see that she reverence her husband." Ephesians 5:33

"For the unbelieving husband is sanctified by the wife, and the unbelieving wife is sanctified by the husband: else were your children unclean; but now are they holy." *"For what knowest thou, O wife, whether thou shalt save*

thy husband? or how knowest thou, O man, whether thou shalt save thy wife?" 1 Corinthians 7:14, 16

"But and if she depart, let her remain unmarried, or be reconciled to her husband: and let not the husband put away his wife." 1 Corinthians 7:11

"The wife is bound by the law as long as her husband liveth; but if her husband be dead, she is at liberty to be married to whom she will; only in the Lord." 1 Corinthians 7:39

"So then if, while her husband liveth, she be married to another man, she shall be called an adulteress: but if her husband be dead, she is free from that law; so that she is no adulteress, though she be married to another man." Romans 7:3

While losing a mother or father, brother or sister in death is painful, no one knows what it is like to lose a spouse except some who has lost a spouse. When two become one, the losing of the one is deeper than words can describe (if they truly loved their spouse).

Language is represented here as *discussion* always makes you subject to the father's/Father's authority, i.e., the father/Father has the final say ("Because I said so," "It is written," "Thy will be done") while in *dialogue* we are all "equal," i.e., "Everyone is entitled to their opinion." God's command to Adam could only be responded to by obedience and if there was an question it was to be made by *discussion*, with God having the final say (which Jesus Christ responded with in the temptations in the wilderness, i.e., "It is written" or "Dad says"). Adam could not *dialogue* with God, as *dialogue* would made him "equal" with God, yet, being made from the dust of the ground he still had this urge to *dialogue*, which no animal in the creation could do, i.e., satisfy, with God creating the woman to fulfill or satisfy that part of him, with her ("being the weaker vessel") having to have *discussion* with him (and with God) regarding right and wrong behavior (with God taking precedence over all). It was when the woman (instead of turning to Adam, under God with *discussion*, regarding her *lust*) used *dialogue* (with the master facilitator of 'change') in the realm of God's "*Thou shalt not,*" she 'justified' her *lust*, making herself

God (with Adam following after her, the *dialogue* part of him usurping *discussion* with God) with God stating "they have become like us" except their making right and wrong subject to their *lusts*, i.e., *dialogue*, i.e., *opinion* instead of subject to what God says, i.e., His Word, where only *discussion* is allowed—with God and His Word having the final say. When it comes to the Word of God, the 'moment' the fellowship goes to *dialogue* ("I feel" and "I think") instead of *discussion* ("It is written," weighing the Word of God with the Word of God, i.e., rightly dividing the Word of God, not adding or taking away from it as *dialogue* does) it becomes apostate, making man the arbiter of God's Word instead of God. In *discussion*, God is God. In *dialogue*, man is god. The soul KNOWS by being *told*, i.e., via *preaching, teaching, and discussion*. The flesh knows by *sense-experience*, i.e., *dialogue*.

All the facilitator of 'change' has to do (in a "positive" environment, i.e., in an environment which will not judge, condemn, or cast you out for *lusting* after pleasure or for being wrong) is ask you how you feel and what you think regarding the commands, rules, facts, and truth you have been taught (that get in the way of your carnal desires), especially when it comes to behavior and the facilitator of 'change' "owns" you. This applies to all who participate in the facilitated, *dialoguing of opinions* to a *consensus* process (establishing *lust* over and therefore against the father's/Father's authority).

"The heart is deceitful above all things [thinking pleasure, i.e., lust is the standard for "good" instead of doing the father's/Father's will], and desperately wicked [hating anyone preventing, i.e., inhibiting or blocking it from enjoying the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' it lusts after, hating anyone threatening to take or taking it away]: who can know it?" Jeremiah 17:9

The unregenerate (carnal) heart (the Karl Marx in you) can not see its [hatred toward the father's/Father's authority](#) as being evil, i.e., "*wicked*," i.e., "*desperately wicked*" because its *lust* for pleasure is standing in the way, 'justifying' the hate. ([Mark 7:21-23](#)) Karl Marx described the human heart (his heart). "*Not feeling at home in the sinful world, Critical Criticism [dialogue, which is subject to the human (carnal) heart] must set*

up a sinful world in its own home." "Critical Criticism is a spiritualistic lord, pure spontaneity, actus purus, intolerant of any influence from without." (Karl Marx, The Holy Family) "Criticism is now simply a means. Indignation is its essential pathos, denunciation its principle task. Criticism is criticism in hand-to-hand combat. Criticism proceeds on to praxis [action]." "The critique of religion [hatred toward the father's/Father's authority] ends with the categorical imperative to overthrow all conditions in which man is a debased, enslaved, neglected, contemptible being [being called a sinner, thus being judged, condemned, cast out for his carnal thoughts and carnal actions]." (Karl Marx, Critique of Hegel's Philosophy of Right)

"And he said unto them, Ye are they which justify yourselves before men; but God knoweth your hearts: for that which is highly esteemed among men is abomination in the sight of God." Luke 16:15

"Building relationship upon self interest" is the hallmark of Marxism. It is a sad day when you have to explain Marxism in order to explain what is happening in the world around you today.

"To enjoy the present reconciles us to the actual." (Karl Marx, Critique of Hegel's 'Philosophy of Right')

In other words, according to Karl Marx it is *lust*, i.e., enjoying the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the current situation and/or object, people, or person is *stimulating* that makes us at-one-with the world, establishing *lust over* and therefore against the father/Father's authority that gets in the way. *Self* is therefore "*actualized*" in *lust*, not in doing the father's/Father's will.

"The real nature of man is the totality of social relations." ([Karl Marx](#), Thesis on Feuerbach #6)

"It is not individualism that fulfills the individual, on the contrary it destroys him. Society is the necessary framework through which freedom and individuality are made realities." (Karl Marx, in John Lewis, The Life and Teachings of Karl Marx)

In other words, according to Karl Marx the child having to *humble, deny, die to, control, discipline, capitulate* his "self" in order to do the father's/Father's will is not what "*fulfills*" the child. "*On the contrary*" it is the father's/Father's authority, i.e., the child having to do right and not wrong according to the father's/Father's established commands, rules, facts, and truth that "*destroys him,*" i.e., that prevents him from becoming his *self*, thinking and acting according to his carnal nature, i.e., according to what he has in common with all the children of the world. The child's desire for approval from others, requiring him to compromise in order to "get along," i.e., in order to *build relationship "is the necessary framework through which freedom"* from the father's/Father's authority and "*freedom*" to *lust* after pleasure, i.e., to do what he wants without having a *guilty conscience* (which the father's/Father's authority engenders) "*are made reality.*"

"The individual is emancipated in the social group." "Freud commented that only through the solidarity of all the participants could the sense of guilt be assuaged." "Self-perfection of the human individual is fulfilled in union with the world in pleasure." "According to Freud, the ultimate essence of our being is erotic." "Eros is fundamentally a desire for union with objects in the world." "Eros is the foundation of morality." ([Norman O. Brown](#), *Life Against Death: The Psychoanalytical Meaning of History*)

According to Sigmund Freud the *guilty conscience* is a product of the father's/Father's authority, which sustains the father's/Father's authority in society. It is only in the "*social group*" that the *guilty conscience* can *negated*. According to the Marxist, Norman O. Brown without the "*social group*" the child and society remains subject to the father's/Father's authority. Therefore the child and society can only be liberated from the father's/Father's authority and the *guilty conscience* which the father's/Father's authority engenders in the "*social group,*" which 'justifies' the child's carnal nature, i.e., Eros, i.e., *lust*.

"(T)he group to which an individual belongs is the ground for his perceptions, his feelings, and his actions" (Kurt Lewin, *Resolving social conflicts: Selected papers on group dynamics*)

"It is usually easier to change individuals formed into a group than to change any one of them separately." "The individual accepts the new system of values and beliefs by accepting belongingness to the group." (Kurt Lewin in Benne)

*"The child takes on the characteristic behavior of the group in which he is placed. . . . he reflects the behavior patterns which are set by the adult leader of the group." (Kurt Lewin in Wilbur Brookover, *A Sociology of Education*)*

*"Change in methods of leadership is probably the quickest way to bring about a change in the cultural atmosphere of a group." "Any real change of the culture of a group is, therefore, interwoven with the changes of the power constellation within the group." (Barker, Dembo, & Lewin, "frustration and regression: an experiment with young children" in *Child Behavior and Development*)*

*"Once the earthly family is discovered to be the secret of the Holy family, the former must then itself be destroyed [*vernichtet*, i.e., annihilated, i.e., negated] in theory and in practice." (Karl Marx, *Feuerbach Thesis #4*)*

While the heavenly Father is holy and the earthly father is born into sin both have the same authority system, *preaching* commands and rules to be obeyed as given, *teaching* facts and truth to be accepted as is (at first at least by faith) and applied, *discussing* with those under his/His authority any questions they might have regarding his/His commands, rules, facts, and truth, providing he/He deems it necessary, has time, those under his/His authority are able to understand, and are not questioning, challenging, defying, disregarding, attacking his/His authority, 2) *rewarding* those who do right and obey, 3) *correcting* and/or *chastening* those who do wrong and/or disobey, that they might learn to *humble*, *deny*, *die to*, *control*, *discipline*, *capitulate* their "self" in order to do right and not wrong according to the established commands, rules, facts, and truth they have been taught (*told*), i.e., in order to do the father's/Fathers' will, and 4) *casting out* (expels/grounds) those who question, challenge, defy, disregard, attack his/His authority, which restrains the father's/Father's

authority system in the child's/man's thoughts, directing effecting his actions, resulting in the those under the father's/Father's authority KNOWING right from wrong from being *told* (especially when it comes to behavior).

It is the *guilty conscience*, which is engendered by the father's/Father's authority that sustains the father's/Father's authority in the child and in society.

"The guilty conscience is formed in childhood by the incorporation of the parents and the wish to be father of oneself." "What we call 'conscience' perpetuates inside of us our bondage to past objects now part of ourselves:" (Brown) A definition of the *guilty conscience* by a Marxist's perspective.

"The personal conscience is the key element in ensuring self-control, refraining from deviant behavior even when it can be easily perpetrated." "The family, the next most important unit affecting social control, is obviously instrumental in the initial formation of the conscience and in the continued reinforcement of the values that encourage law abiding behavior." (Dr. Robert Trojanowicz, *The meaning of "Community" in Community Policing*) Trojanowicz then promotes bringing the police and the community together with the *dialoguing* of opinions to a *consensus* process, *negating* local control, i.e., the father's/Father's authority system. Done with the use of 'crime' to bring "the people" together.

There is no father's/Father's authority, i.e., judgment, condemnation, fear of being cast out in *dialogue* therefore using *dialogue* to establish right and wrong behavior *negates* not only the father's/Father's authority it *negates* the *guilty conscience* as well.

"The negative valence of a forbidden object which in itself attracts the child [the guilty conscience] thus usually derives from an induced field of force of an adult." "If this field of force loses its psychological existence for the child (e.g., if the adult goes away or loses his authority) the negative valence also disappears." (Kurt Lewin, *A Dynamic Theory of Personality*)

While the *guilty conscience* ties the child to the father/Father or rather the father/Father to the child the "super-ego" ties the child to society.

"Superego development is conceived as the incorporation of the moral standards of society. Therefore the levels of the Taxonomy should describe successive levels of goal setting appropriate to superego development."
(Book 2: Affective Domain)

It is the father's/Father's authority system itself that Karl Marx was out to *negate*. Having denied the Heavenly Father's authority all he had to *negate* was the earthly father's authority (which he believed engendered the Heavenly Father's authority, i.e., religion) Sigmund Freud had the same agenda.

*"... the hatred against patriarchal suppression—a 'barrier to incest,' ... the desire (for the sons) to return to the mother culminates in the rebellion of the exiled sons, the collective killing and devouring of the father." "It is not really a decisive matter whether one has killed one's father or abstained from the deed,' if the function of the conflict and its consequences are the same [the husband/father no longer exercises his authority in the home, over his wife/children]." (Sigmund Freud in [Herbert Marcuse](#), *Eros and Civilization: a psychological inquiry into Freud*)*

Sigmund Freud's history of the prodigal son is not of the son coming to his senses, *humbling his self*, returning home, submitting his *self* to his father's authority, learning his inheritance was not his father's money but his father's love for him (Luke 15:11-24), but of the son joining with his "friends," returning home, killing the father, taking all that was his (the father's), using it to satisfy their carnal desires, i.e., their *lusts*, killing all the fathers in the land (devouring the fathers) so all the children could be the same, i.e., like them, thereby *affirming* them, i.e., their "*incest*," 'justifying' and supporting their control over them.

"Marxian theory needs Freudian-type instinct theory to round it out. And of course, vice versa." "Third-Force psychology is also epi-Marxian in these senses, i.e., including the most basic scheme as true-good social

*conditions are necessary for personal growth, bad social conditions stunt human nature,... This is to say, one could reinterpret Marx into a self-actualization-fostering Third- and Fourth-Force psychology-philosophy. And my impression is anyway that this is the direction in which they are going now." ([Abraham Maslow](#), *The Journals of Abraham Maslow*)*

In other words society needs man's natural inclination to *lust* after pleasure in order to become one and man's natural inclination to *lust* after pleasure needs societies 'justification.' The 'liberation' of self, i.e., of *lust* out from under the father's/Father's authority "*is necessary for personal growth,*" while submission of self to the father's/Father's authority "*stunt(s) human nature.*" Marxism is philosophy and psychology becoming at-one-with one another. It is in *dialogue* (which does not recognize the father's/Father's authority) that all can become one, "bypass" the father's/Father's authority in making rules, policies, and law, i.e., in establishing right and wrong behavior—resulting in *lust* being right and the father's/Father's authority being wrong.

*"In the dialogic relation of recognizing oneself in the other, they experience the common ground of their existence." ([Jürgen Habermas](#), *Knowledge & Human Interest, Chapter Three: The Idea of the Theory of Knowledge as Social Theory*)*

*"Bypassing the traditional channels of 'top-down' decision making our objective center's upon transformation public opinion into an effective instrument of global politics." "Individual values must be measured by their contribution to common [lust] interests and ultimately to world interests, transforming public consensus into one favorable to the emergence of a stable and humanistic world order." "Consensus is both a personal and a political step. It is a precondition of all future steps." (Ervin Laszlo, *A Strategy For The Future: The Systems Approach to World Order*) This from the man who developed the theory of "climate change."*

*"The philosophers have only interpreted the world in different ways, the objective however, is change." (Karl Marx, *Feuerbach Thesis #11*)*
Inscribed on Karl Marx's tomb so it must be important.

In other words it is the father's authority system, i.e., the father's established commands, rules, facts, and truth (which differ from father to father) that divides the people. It is in the child's propensity to respond ('change' in accordance) to the situation and/or object, people, or person in the 'moment that is the objective of life. Without the "help" of the facilitator of 'change' the children remain subject to the father's/Father's authority system. The facilitator of 'change,' perceiving his *self* as being the personification of "the people," who, like him *lust* after the carnal pleasures of the moment the world *stimulates*, hating restraint, sees it as his duty to 'justify' the people's natural inclination to *lust* after pleasure in order to 'justify' his natural inclination to *lust* after pleasure. When you question the facilitator of 'change's' actions he will respond with "It is not just about you," really meaning "It is all about me, so I can *lust* after pleasure without having a *guilty conscience*, with your *affirmation*. If you refuse to *affirm* me, i.e., my *lusts* or get in my way 'the people' will remove (*negate*) you (since having 'justifying' their *lusts* I now 'own' them). It appears I must keep an eye on you from now on for my 'good.'" This is the true meaning of "sight based management."

"The transgression of the wicked saith within my heart, that there is no fear of God before his eyes. For he flattereth himself in his own eyes, until his iniquity be found to be hateful. The words of his mouth are iniquity and deceit: he hath left off to be wise, and to do good. He deviseth mischief upon his bed; he setteth himself in a way that is not good; he abhorreth not evil." Psalms 36:1-4

"For the wicked boasteth of his heart's desire, and blesseth the covetous, whom the LORD abhorreth. The wicked, through the pride of his countenance, will not seek after God: God is not in all his thoughts." Psalms 10:3, 4

"For the time will come when they will not endure sound doctrine; but after their own lusts shall they heap to themselves teachers, having itching ears; And they shall turn away their ears from the truth, and shall be turned unto fables." 2 Timothy 4:3, 4

"For men shall be lovers of their own selves, covetous, boasters, proud, blasphemers, disobedient to parents, unthankful, unholy, Without natural affection, trucebreakers, false accusers, incontinent, fierce, despisers of those that are good, Traitors, heady, highminded, lovers of pleasures more than lovers of God; Having a form of godliness, but denying the power thereof: from such turn away." 1 Timothy 3:2-5

"And what concord hath Christ with Belial? or what part hath he that believeth with an infidel? And what agreement hath the temple of God with idols? for ye are the temple of the living God; as God hath said, I will dwell in them, and walk in them; and I will be their God, and they shall be my people. Wherefore come out from among them, and be ye separate, saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean thing; and I will receive you, And will be a Father unto you, and ye shall be my sons and daughters, saith the Lord Almighty." 2 Corinthians 6:15-18

Facilitators of 'change,' i.e., psychologists, i.e., behavioral "scientists," i.e., "group psychotherapists," i.e., Marxists (Transformational Marxists)—all being the same in method or formula—are using the dialoguing of opinions to a consensus (affirmation) process, i.e., dialectic 'reasoning' ('reasoning' from/through the students "feelings" of the 'moment,' i.e., from/through their "lust" for pleasure and their hate of restraint, in the "light" of their desire for group approval, i.e., affirmation and fear of group rejection) in the "group grade," "safe zone/space/place," "Don't be negative, be positive," "open ended, non-directed," soviet style, brainwashing (washing the father's/Father's authority from the children's thoughts and actions, i.e., "theory and practice," negating their having a guilty conscience, which the father's/father's authority engenders for doing wrong, disobeying, sinning in the process—called "the negation of negation" since the father's/Father's authority and the guilty conscience, being negative to the child's carnal nature, is negated in dialogue—in dialogue, opinion, and the consensus process there is no father's/Father's authority, i.e., no established aka absolute command, rule, facts, or truth to be accepted as is, by faith and obeyed; there is only the person's carnal desires, i.e., lusts of the past and the present being verbally expressed and 'justified'), inductive 'reasoning' ('reasoning' from/through the students "feelings," i.e., their natural

inclination to "*lust*" after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment'—[dopamine emancipation](#)—which the world stimulates, i.e., their "[self interest](#)," i.e., their "[sense experience](#)," [selecting "appropriate information"](#)—excluding, ignoring, or resisting, i.e., rejecting any "*inappropriate*" information, i.e., established command, rule, fact, or truth that gets in the way of their desired outcome, i.e., pleasure—in determining right from wrong behavior), "[Bloom's Taxonomy](#)," "[affective domain](#)," French Revolution ([Liberté, Égalité, Fraternité](#)) classroom "environment" in order (as in "new" world order) to 'liberate' children from parental authority, i.e., from the father's/Father's authority system (the [Patriarchal Paradigm](#))—as [predators, charlatans, pimps, pedophiles, seducing, deceiving, and manipulating](#) them [as chickens, rats, and dogs](#), i.e., treating them as natural resource ("*human resource*") in order to convert them into '[liberals](#),' [socialists](#), [globalists](#), so they, '[justifying](#)' their "*self*" [before one another](#), can do wrong, disobey, sin, i.e., can "*lust*" after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates, with impunity.

"Thus saith the LORD, Stand ye in the ways, and see, and ask for the old paths, where is the good way, and walk therein, and ye shall find rest for your souls. But they said, We will not walk therein. Also I set watchmen over you, saying, Harken to the sound of the trumpet. But they said, We will not hearken." Jeremiah 6:16, 17

[Home schooling](#) material, co-ops, conferences, etc., are joining in the same *praxis*, fulfilling [Immanuel Kant's](#) as well as [Georg Hegel's](#), [Karl Marx's](#), and [Sigmund Freud's](#) agenda of using the pattern or method of [Genesis 3:1-6](#), i.e., "*self*" 'justification,' i.e., dialectic (*dialogue*) 'reasoning,' i.e., 'reasoning' from/through your "*feelings*," i.e., your carnal desires of the 'moment' which are being stimulated by the world (including your desire for approval from others, with them *affirming* your carnal nature) in order to *negate* [Hebrews 12:5-11](#), i.e., the father's/Father's authority, i.e., having to *humble, deny, die to, control, discipline, capitulate* your "*self*" (your *lusts*) in order to do the father's/Father's will, *negating* [Romans 7:14-25](#), i.e., your having a *guilty conscience* when you do wrong, disobey, sin, thereby *negating* your having to [repent before the father/Father](#) for your doing wrong, disobedience, sins—which is the real agenda.

*"And for this cause [because men, as "[children of disobedience](#)," 'justify' their "self," i.e., 'justify' their love of "self" and the world, i.e., their love of the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' (*dopamine emancipation*) which the world stimulates over and therefore against the Father's authority] God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie [that pleasure is the standard for "good" instead of doing the Father's will]: That they all might be damned who believed not the truth [in the Father and in His Son, Jesus Christ], but had pleasure in unrighteousness [in their "self" and the pleasures of the 'moment,' which the world stimulates]." 2*

Thessalonians 2:11, 12

© *Institution for Authority Research*, Dean Gotcher 2024 (2/5/2024)